

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,979	12/06/2001	Satoshi Maruyama	216935US0	4984
22850	7590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			CANTELMO, GREGG	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				· <u>-</u>
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/002,979	MARUYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gregg Cantelmo	1745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the may ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allow	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7,14 and 17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7,14 and 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicati riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/002,979 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. In response to the amendment received April 5, 2006:
 - a. Claims 7, 14 and 17 are pending;
 - b. The 112 1st paragraph rejection is withdrawn in light of Applicant's arguments;
 - c. The prior art rejections are withdrawn in light of the cancellation of claims3, 5 and 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/002,979

Art Unit: 1745

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 7, 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-235868 (JP '868) in view of JP 04-190560 (JP '560).

JP '868 discloses a lithium secondary battery wherein: a cathode, anode and electrolyte are encased in a housing having a thickness of 0.5 mm or less (abstract), a cathode active substance comprising a lithium cobalt oxide (paragraph [0023]), and an electrolyte solution containing 50-95% γ -butyrolactone in the solvent (abstract and paragraph [0049] as applied to claim 7).

The housing has a thickness as low as 0.05 mm (paragraph [0064] as applied to claims 7 and 14).

The cathode binder is polyvinylidene fluoride (paragraph [0025] as applied to claim 17).

The difference between claim 7 and JP '868 is that JP '868 does not teach of the lithium cobalt oxide having 0.001-2 at% Nb relative to the cobalt in the composite oxide.

JP '560 discloses adding 0.001-0.4 of Niobium relative to cobalt in a lithium composite oxide active material for lithium batteries (abstract).

The motivation for adding 0.001-0.4 Nb is that it improves the high temperature storage property of the battery.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,979 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of JP '868 by adding 0.001-0.4 Nb since it would have improved the high temperature storage property of the battery.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7, 14 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is 571-272-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

gc June 21, 2006